City of Corvallis Salmon Response Plan

Prepared for:

City of Corvallis, Oregon Public Works Department PO Box 1083 Corvallis OR 97339-1083

August 20, 2004

Prepared by:

Bill Jones, Ph.D.
Robert Dillinger, Ph.D.

Natural Resource Planning Services, Inc.
3030 SW Moody Avenue, Suite 105
Portland, Oregon 97201
503.222.5005



Appendix 3

Example Worksheet Records for Non-Weighted Baseline Database and Weighted Pathways Database

PATHWAY	ANALYSIS	- ZONING	DISTRICTS

<u> </u>	AIRW	AY ANALYSIS - ZONING L	JISTRICTS												
						5 " 10 " "		Direct	Channelization				Later of the		
						Formatted Response to two key questions:				0 9 =			Intensity (Impact to		
_ ا	e t	Enter relevant data	directly from develo	onment code	Summary and description of relevant indicators (uses, activity, or	What is the relationship between the source use or activity, the pathway, and the habitat?	Def./Quant.	Direct	Barriers	PFC sitive gativ	Magnitude	Duration	(impact to Habitat)		မွ
ten	Reference Document I	Entor rolovant data (anoony norm dovoic	princin codo	standards) impacting habitat	2) What is the rationale for scoring this specific pathway for the following parameters:	Def./NonQ	Direct	Buffers	된 & A 호	City=3	Chronic=3	High=3	<u></u>	Score
<u>e</u>	g le					+/-/0 (Col.10 a), Mag.(Col.11 b), Dur. (Col.12 c), Intensity (Col.13 d)?	Cond/Q.	Indirect	Contaminants	S-G-G-R	Reach=2	Episodic=2	Medium=2		
Ŀ	8 8						Cond/NQ	Indirect	Impervious Surfaces	POS NEG NTRL	Point=1	Once =1	Low=1	Sul	Total
	0 1	2 3 4		5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	
	LI DO	Chapter Name	Sect #	Sect. Name	Description	Discussion/Justification	Filter	Impact	Pathway/Conveyance	+/-/0 (a)	Mag. (b)	Dur. (c)	Int. (d)	ST	Γot.
7	71 LD0	C Flood Control and Drainageway Provisions	4.5.10	Purpose	integral part of the City environment and to maintain both	 1 - A statement of purpose to protect natural streams and drainageways. This protection has potential to benefit the stream habitat. 10(a) - Neutral: Habitat protection and environmental quality is not stated in the purpose. 11(b) - City: The purpose applies City-wide. 12(c) - Chronic: The purpose will persist until amended. 13(d) - Low: Amending the purpose statement would yield marginal benefits to habitat. 	C/N	Direct	Multiple	NTRL	0	0	0	0	0
7	2 LDO	C Flood Control and Drainageway Provisions	4.5.70	Standards in the Floodway	New construction, substantial improvements, and encroachments are prohibited within the 0.2-foot-rise floodway. The floodway is the portion of the floodplain where high volumes of moving water flow through streams or drainagewaysNonstructural development, such as parking lots, may be permitted within the floodwaywith certification.	Construction or encroachment is prohibited within the floodway. While this section focuses on protection of life and property from flooding, it also prevents direct contamination of stream habitat resulting from construction and release of contaminants caused by flood damage. 10(a) - Positive: Prevents contamination of stream habitat that might otherwise occur. 11(b) - City: The purpose applies City-wide. 12(c) - Chronic: The restriction will persist until amended. 13(d) - Low: Development activity within the floodway would be avoided by most developers even without the restriction.	C/N	Direct	Multiple	POS	3	3	1	7	7

11/20/01 Page 26 of 27

Pathways and Effects Form Reference ID A05 Document Identification LDC Chapter Name A.5 Flood control, Storm Drainage, and Wetland Provisions Impact Type (8) Section Name Mitigation for Disturbances to Drainageways and Wetlands PEC Impact (10 +/-/0 [a]) POS-DEV

Description

Encourages developers to avoid impacts to drainageways and wetland areas. Provides incentives to developers who avoid or minimize impacts to drainageways & wetlands. The incentives to developers should they avoid or minimize impacts to drainageways and wetlands include a reduction in minimum lot size and density bonuses. The policy outlines the formula for calculating the development incentives.

Discussion/Justification

Development within drainageways and wetlands generally have a negative effect on PFC. The City recognizes this and has created incentives for developers in order to encourage them to avoid, reduce, or minimize the negative impact on drainageways and wetlands.

- 10(a) Positive: Policy wil encourage future development to avoid, reduce or minimize negative impact to PFC.
- 11(b) Meta-Reach: The policy applies to meta-reaches.
- 12(c) Periodic: Development will continue in the drainageways and wetlands but will have less impact on PFC

Meta-Reach Data

RefID MetaReach	Basin	ZoningClass	ReachFactor	Magnitude	Timing	Intensity	Duratio	SubTotal	WeightFactor	Score
405 DCEF	Dixon Creek	Any	0	3	3	3	0	9	1	9
405 DCMF	Dixon Creek	Any	0	3	3	3	0	9	1	9
405 DCR1	Dixon Creek	Any	0	3	3	3	0	9	1	9
405 DCR2	Dixon Creek	Any	0	3	3	3	0	9	1	9
405 DCR3	Dixon Creek	Any	0	3	3	3	0	9	1	9
405 DCR4	Dixon Creek	Any	0	3	3	3	0	9	1	9
405 DCWF	Dixon Creek	Any	0	3	3	3	0	9	1	9
405 FRAZIER	Frazier Creek	Any	0	3	3	3	0	9	1	9
405 Garfield	Garfield	Any	0	3	3	3	0	9	1	9
405 JACKSON	Jackson Creek	Any	0	3	3	3	0	9	1	9
405 OCNTR1	Oak Creek	Any	0	3	3	3	0	9	1	9
405 OCNTR2	Oak Creek	Any	0	3	3	3	0	9	1	9
405 OCNTR3	Oak Creek	Any	0	3	3	3	0	9	1	9
405 OCNTWF	Oak Creek	Any	0	3	3	3	0	9	1	9
405 OCR1	Oak Creek	Any	0	3	3	3	0	9	1	9
405 OCR2	Oak Creek	Any	0	3	3	3	0	9	1	9
405 OCR3	Oak Creek	Any	0	3	3	3	0	9	1	9